

PIP Item: 3A.1 Enhance community partnerships for prevention services through the Community Collaborations for Children (CCC) regional networks.

Action Step 3A.1.2: Meet with Community partners at a regional level to evaluate service gaps and strategize solutions.

Action Step 3A.1.3: Facilitate training/education for community partners by increasing the use of child welfare data at the regional CCC meetings.

In preparation for this action step, Commissioner Pat Wilson, expanded the contracts for in-home and out-of-home service provision. Commissioner Wilson set up a meeting with all of the providers to discuss her vision and goals for Kentucky. All providers were invited to attend the meeting and it was held on August 16, 2010, in Frankfort, Kentucky.

Dr. Ruth Huebner, presented a power-point presentation outlining child welfare data around the number of reports received by DCBS in CY 2009, the number of children in out-of-home care and an evaluation of how FPP impacts those numbers (part2).

Dr. Ruth also presented statewide and county specific information around:


- The number of children that live in the county.
- The number of reports that meet criteria in that county.
- The number of substantiated reports
- The number of families with children entering care.
- Percentage of families with children entering care.
- Number of families with children reunified with parents (part 3).

Commissioner Wilson explained that her goal for each contract official is to measure and evaluate each contract agency's ability to assist DCBS with meeting state and federal outcomes. She stated the need for data collection and ensuring that based on the data presented by Dr. Huebner that together we are:

- Reducing the number of children being placed in care by keeping them safely in their home.
- Reuniting children in care with their families as expeditiously as possible.

This item is a quarter 3 and ongoing, so for the purposes of this reporting period information from the following CCC regions will be highlighted: Barren River, Bluegrass Fayette, Green River, Northern Kentucky and Pennyriple. Dr. Huebner and her staff prepared regionally specific child welfare information to be presented to each Community Collaborations for Children group (parts 4-8). Each PIP lead was instructed to present their information at their local meetings and begin to develop action plans around how the area could begin to develop strategies to resolve service gaps.

During the third quarter the regions began presenting data. We have provided the meeting notes for the five regions mentioned above (parts 9-13). During future quarters, we will be highlighting the action plans and information from some of the other regions.



WELCOME! Intensive In-Home Services

**August 16, 2010
Ruth A. Huebner, PhD
Rutha.huebner@ky.gov**


1



TOPICS for TODAY

- **The importance of a continuum of in-home services for Kentucky's vulnerable families.**
- **Snippet of findings from evaluations of in-home services.**
- **Introduction to data collection**

2



In-Home Services: Some Facts


- ✦ 9,267 families with substantiated abuse and neglect in CY 2009
- ✦ 3,187 families in CY 2009 with children that entered OOHC.
- ✦ About 25% of children that entered foster care go home in 60 days or less.
- ✦ At least 75% of children return home to parents or relatives.
- ✦ >16,000 families served by DCBS with some in-home services in CY 2009.

Families with First Report

- About 74% of families referred to DCBS have one and only one report that meets criteria.
- 68% of first reports have very low risk ratings and no maltreatment.
- Struggling families need community resources and supports.
- The other 26% of referrals become nearly 80% of the work of DCBS with repeated referrals

5

Numbers in Out-of-Home Care (Increased 21% since 12/01)



6

Continuum of In-Home Services

Community Collaboration for Children (CCC) In-Home Services: To prevent children from being abused or neglected

Family Preservation Program (FPP) Services:


- **IFPS (Intensive Family Preservation Services)** - For families with children at imminent and immediate risk of out of home placement
- **FACTS (Families and Children Together Safely)** - For families at risk with children who may be in the home or returning from OOHC
- **FRS (Family Reconciliation Services)** - To help children in OOHC return to their families

Diversion (Intensive In-home services): To divert children (usually teens) from OOHC

START (Sobriety Treatment and Recovery Team): Intensive case management to address the needs of drug affected families


7

Average Age of Children Served



8

Families Adequate or Better on NCFAS



Notes are on the following slide...


9

What do the NCFAS scores tell us?

The different levels of functioning among the families who receive the different services validate our idea of a continuum of services:

- Families served by CCC were found to have the highest levels of functioning at intake.
- Families served by FPP were found to have lower levels of functioning at intake than those served by CCC.
- Children served by Diversion were found to be considerably lower on child well-being.
- The drug affected families served by START were found to have more issues with safety and environment.


10



Highlights of Previous Program Evaluation

Family Preservation (2008)
and Diversion (2009)

11



In-home Services and OOHC*

- 60-65% of children served by in-home services never had past or current placements in OOHC.
- 34.3% of children served by FPP had at least one episode of OOHC SOMETIME.
- Only 6.3% of all children and families served by FPP had a stay in OOHC that began after FPP service.
- Only 7.9% of children who received Diversion services in SFY08 entered OOHC after service delivery.

13

Children entering OOHC after In-home services

- Made less progress on NCFAS especially on measures of child safety.
- Tended to be the oldest group served
- Had more severe behavioral problems.
- 27% (68 children) had at least one prior episode in OOHC, before recent FPP
- These children and families are at risk of being in prolonged state custody.


14

Is FPP service associated with differences in OHC experience?

- Compared children without current FPP services and FPP-served children in OOHC.
 - Shorter lengths of stay (3 mon.) in OOHC.
 - Fewer placement moves,
 - More often placed with siblings
 - More family team meetings and
 - Higher rates of reunification with parents.

15

Rates of Reunification for FPP and Non-FPP served Children



16

FPP Cost-Benefit Analysis

Table 15 and 16, page 41 of the FPP Program Evaluation

- Cost benefit based on conservative calculations


Child Pattern	Outcome FPP	Outcome Typical (costs)	Cost Avoidance
2913 children served by FPP never have any OOHC episode (4133 children served -1220 children with any OOHC)	252 enter OOHC after FPP services (6.3%)	At the very least 953 children would enter OOHC from FPP group (32.7% of subbed referrals)*	At least 701 children avoid OOHC placement. Cost of care = average of \$77.69/day.
995 children exit care with support of FPP	Exit care in 8.6 months	Exit care in 11.6 months.	Avoid 3.0 months of OOHC expenses for FPP group.

FPP Cost Savings Summary	Cost Avoidance
500 children (of the 701) avoid short stays of 60 days in OOHC	2,330,700
201 children (of the 701) avoid 15 months (457 days) of OOHC	7,136,370
995 children with 3.0 months (91 days) shorter stays in OOHC	7,034,441
Costs of staff, stipends, and supports to foster parents	>1,000,000
Total Cost Avoidance: At least	17,501,511

17

FPP Cost Savings Summary

- Total Costs for FPP from July 1, 2006, to June 30, 2007 = \$6,139,414.80
- Total Cost Avoidance = \$17,501,511
- Ratio of Costs to Savings = for every \$1 for FPP, savings of OOHC costs = \$2.85.
- Average cost of serving one family = \$4,584.20
- Average cost of serving one child in OOHC for nine months = \$21,282



Reduce to Trauma: Priceless!

18

In-Home Services Are More Cost Effective than OOHC


- For every \$1 spent on **FPP**, we may avoid \$2.85 in OOHC costs
- For every \$1 spent on **Diversion**, we may avoid \$2.22 in OOHC costs.
- These are conservative estimates.

Source: FPP Evaluation by Dr. Ruth Huebner and Joann Lianekhammy and Diversion Evaluation by Dr. Ruth Huebner and Audrey Brock

19


Recurrence of CA/N in cases served by FPP is about the same as non-served cases.
Risks decrease over time: OOHC rate of entry remain low.

% Families Subbed After FPP




20


Strategies the In-Home Worker Used



Skills Clients Learned




Percent agreeing with the results of FPP Services




23

Barriers to family change



24




Data Collection

Family Preservation: Case Tracking System.

<https://www.trc.eku.edu/familypreservation/>

25



Family Preservation Activities - Mozilla Firefox

File Edit View History Bookmarks Tools Help

Address: https://www.trc.eku.edu/familypreservation/

Family Preservation Activities

Data Collection

Introduction

Family Preservation refers to a continuum of services delivered to families in their homes or through intensive case management. As the title implies, Family Preservation is intended to keep children safe and families intact.

This data collection website collects information on three major service delivery programs funded by the Department for Community Based Services:

1. Family Preservation Program (FPP)
2. Diversion Program
3. In-Home Service Delivery

Data from this website is used for the evaluation of these services. In addition, a report suitable for communication with others is generated from the data entry. Contact Audrey.Brock@ky.gov for help.

Tools

- Login to the Family Preservation data collection tools.

Tip Sheets

- Program Director / Manager Tip Sheet - Program directors / managers set up accounts for supervisors. They can view but not edit all the families entered by the supervisors and the workers under the supervisors.

Done

26

All cases are entered in the FP:CTS

- DCBS staff allow managers access to the system.
- Managers add supervisors.
- Supervisors add initial users.
- Managers can see all the cases served by all supervisors and initial users, but cannot edit the data.

27

Family Data Entered First

- Dates of referral and end date
- TWIST ID numbers for the case and children
- Number of contact hours with the family.
- Case Status – assessment only, closed as complete or incomplete.
- North Carolina Family Assessment Scale at intact and at least at discharge


28

Data on Children Nested in the Family

- Demographic data such as race, gender, DOB and
- Target child status.
- Child status at referral
- Child status at follow-up.
- Training will be provided by phone training.

29

Thank You Working Together to Serve Children and Families



30

	Census	Referrals (Families) CY 2009		OOHC Entry (Families) CY 2009	Removal Rate (Target Outcome)	Reunification
	# of Children in County (Census)	# of Reports Meeting Criteria in CY2009	# Reports Substantiated or Services Needed in CY2009	# of Families with Children Entering OOHC in 2009	# of families with sub/need service/# of families with children entering OOHC	# of Families with Children Reunified with Parents in 2009
Statewide	993,696	47,257	10,892	3,187	29.3%	1,615
Adair	3,945	216	50	7	14.0%	3
Allen	4,594	168	50	15	30.0%	9
Anderson	5,114	197	45	24	53.3%	14
Ballard	1,870	32	6	2	33.3%	2
Barren	9,227	776	171	38	22.2%	21
Bath	2,885	193	32	18	56.3%	6
Bell	6,776	379	95	13	13.7%	6
Boone	28,155	702	94	26	27.7%	15
Bourbon	4,563	277	39	12	30.8%	4
Boyd	10,357	1,190	231	115	49.8%	54
Boyle	6,331	329	68	30	44.1%	14
Bracken	2,145	84	10	4	40.0%	0
Breathitt	3,688	332	52	8	15.4%	7
Breckinridge	4,674	201	32	18	56.3%	6
Bullitt	16,738	565	147	56	38.1%	25
Butler	3,128	108	38	14	36.8%	7
Caldwell	2,766	93	15	6	40.0%	1
Calloway	6,474	239	69	36	52.2%	15
Campbell	21,267	681	164	35	21.3%	11
Carlisle	1,159	89	21	5	23.8%	1
Collins	2,665	234	45	6	13.3%	5
Carter	6,460	494	99	25	25.3%	12
Casey	3,838	175	30	6	20.0%	5
Christian	20,612	640	84	23	27.4%	20
Clark	8,129	379	113	12	10.6%	7
Clay	5,738	258	70	35	50.0%	29
Clinton	2,093	179	25	6	24.0%	1
Crittenden	1,946	111	38	5	13.2%	3
Cumberland	1,632	10	5	1	20.0%	0
Daviess	23,206	1,114	360	86	23.9%	34
Edmonson	2,773	102	31	16	51.6%	6
Elliott	1,689	121	23	6	26.1%	5
Estill	3,538	138	28	11	39.3%	6
Fayette	55,886	2,291	506	228	45.1%	89
Fleming	3,769	190	43	11	25.6%	7
Floyd	9,591	884	185	38	20.5%	26
Franklin	10,571	543	99	28	28.3%	17
Fulton	1,859	79	19	5	26.3%	6
Gallatin	2,389	123	25	2	8.0%	1
Garrard	3,811	161	59	29	49.2%	13
Grant	7,040	354	57	19	33.3%	3
Hartsville	9,083	232	66	34	51.5%	19
Grayson	5,876	242	72	63	87.5%	17
Green	2,624	124	34	4	11.8%	5
Greenup	8,610	451	124	26	21.0%	17

	Census	Referrals (Families) CY 2009		OOHC Entry (Families) CY 2009	Removal Rate (Target Outcome)	Reunification
	# of Children In County (Census)	# of Reports Meeting Criteria In CY2009	# Reports Substantiated or Services Needed In CY2009	# of Families with Children Entering OOHC In 2009	# of families with sub/need service/# of families with children entering OOHC	# of Families with Children Reunified with Parents in 2009
Hancock	2,310	49	7	4	57.1%	0
Hardin	24,923	1,051	198	88	44.4%	38
Harlan	7,633	435	88	26	29.5%	21
Harrison	4,604	209	41	6	14.6%	4
Hart	4,538	151	48	10	20.8%	8
Henderson	10,789	454	172	20	11.6%	12
Henry	3,989	223	38	11	28.9%	5
Hickman	1,060	14	0	Combined w/Fulton		0
Hopkins	10,891	447	116	23	19.8%	10
Jackson	3,435	184	44	10	22.7%	5
Jefferson	167,831	6,808	1,640	327	19.9%	181
Jessamine	10,813	419	90	19	21.1%	10
Johnson	5,579	685	138	38	27.5%	21
Kenton	38,499	2,067	519	209	40.3%	64
Knott	3,966	318	78	12	15.4%	6
Knox	8,194	426	79	24	30.4%	19
LaRue	3,236	208	65	12	18.5%	7
Laurel	13,908	1,214	187	65	34.8%	47
Lawrence	3,892	250	95	12	12.6%	6
Lee	1,582	93	16	2	12.5%	1
Leslie	2,633	172	28	22	78.6%	16
Letcher	5,445	411	149	17	11.4%	7
Lewis	3,254	156	51	16	31.4%	9
Lincoln	6,603	272	44	19	43.2%	5
Livingston	2,059	105	19	6	31.6%	4
Logan	6,994	187	34	25	73.5%	11
Lyon	1,200	218	61	8	13.1%	6
Madison	16,572	698	218	51	23.4%	23
Magoffin	3,198	296	67	14	20.9%	9
Marion	4,762	202	37	9	24.3%	7
Marshall	6,453	232	52	19	36.5%	5
Martin	3,222	414	147	24	16.3%	9
Mason	3,884	210	33	13	39.4%	9
McCracken	14,665	498	119	52	43.7%	17
McCreary	4,439	270	64	41	64.1%	13
McLean	2,484	87	38	3	7.9%	3
Meade	8,026	235	40	21	52.5%	9
Menifee	1,611	110	43	7	16.3%	1
Mercer	5,199	235	50	21	42.0%	12
Metcalfe	2,527	111	40	4	10.0%	3
Monroe	2,750	96	31	8	25.8%	5
Montgomery	5,941	360	67	5	7.5%	2
Morgan	2,952	144	43	1	2.3%	4
Muhlenberg	6,895	228	65	11	16.9%	9
Nelson	10,625	324	85	7	8.2%	5
Nicholas	1,662	91	8	1	12.5%	0

	Census	Referrals (Families) CY 2009		OOHC Entry (Families) CY 2009	Removal Rate (Target Outcome)	Reunification
	# of Children in County (Census)	# of Reports Meeting Criteria in CY2009	# Reports Substantiated or Services Needed in CY2009	# of Families with Children Entering OOHC in 2009	# of families with sub/need service/# of families with children entering OOHC	# of Families with Children Reunified with Parents in 2009
Onio	5,595	238	80	27	33.8%	10
Oldham	13,677	263	64	33	51.6%	16
Owen	2,904	86	21	3	14.3%	2
Owsley	1,129	234	49	9	18.4%	5
Pendleton	4,158	211	35	10	28.6%	9
Perry	6,650	597	101	54	53.5%	40
Pike	15,054	986	260	27	10.4%	15
Powell	3,502	166	61	10	16.4%	3
Pulaski	13,437	652	111	58	52.3%	45
Robertson	562	0	8	1	12.5%	2
Rockcastle	3,877	199	36	18	50.0%	5
Rowan	4,492	332	98	43	43.9%	21
Russell	3,646	240	36	8	22.2%	3
Scott	10,015	596	85	22	25.9%	12
Shelby	9,383	522	99	37	37.4%	20
Simpson	4,329	145	56	14	25.0%	7
Spencer	3,930	127	21	7	33.3%	4
Taylor	5,225	287	64	16	25.0%	15
Todd	3,119	138	39	13	33.3%	11
Trigg	2,970	100	24	8	33.3%	2
Trimble	2,346	122	28	8	28.6%	4
Union	3,880	121	47	15	31.9%	7
Warren	22,394	665	214	112	52.3%	70
Washington	2,884	260	34	6	17.6%	0
Wayne	4,833	303	62	12	19.4%	2
Webster	3,359	121	24	3	12.5%	2
Whitley	9,650	542	110	48	43.6%	33
Wolfe	1,907	212	28	8	28.6%	3
Woodford	5,779	245	36	7	19.4%	5

Child Abuse and Neglect: Partnering to Help Families Achieve Results

Understanding Local Needs and Envisioning Solutions

Barren River Regional Network



1

What Is This Discussion About?

1. Identify current gaps and needs for services in counties and communities.
2. Prioritize those needs.
3. Strategize possible solutions.

2

DCBS Calls/Referrals


October 1, 2009 to September 30, 2010

	Barren River	Statewide
All Calls/Referrals to CPS	7,205	80,002
Reports that Met Acceptance Criteria	2,830	48,080
# Unique Families	2,379	39,856
# Unique Children	3,629	62,547
Finding of Substantiated Child Abuse/Neglect or Services Needed	822	11,365
# Unique Families	744	10,495
# Unique Children	1,237	18,199
% Neglect	78.8%	73.6%
% Physical Abuse	13.7%	15.8%
% Sexual Abuse	7.1%	7.2%
% Emotional Abuse	0.4%	0.4%

3

Ages of Children at Report


Barren River



54% of families have 2 or more children statewide

4

All Reports: Family Challenges and Risks



5

Services that DCBS Recommends to Address Family Challenges and Risks

- Family Preservation
- Intensive In-Home Services
- CCC In-Home Based Services
- Supervised Visitation
- Childcare
- Parenting classes
- Substance Abuse Treatment
- Anger Management
- Counseling
- Support groups

6

Service Gaps

- Access to transportation
- Child care services for parents to attend meetings and service provider appointments
- Substance abuse treatment services
- Support groups and parenting classes for fathers
- Additional visitation with siblings for foster youth

7

Nurturing Child Welfare and Strong Families is Everyone's Work

**What does this mean for
our community?**



8


Children and Families Need Communities

- What needs does your community have?
- Brainstorm solutions.
- Who can help with these solutions?
- What can you do?
- Next steps (Action plan)

9



**Thank you for
digging deeper
for families and
children**



10

Child Abuse and Neglect: Partnering to Help Families Achieve Results

Understanding Local Needs and Envisioning Solutions

Bluegrass Fayette Regional Network



1

What Is This Discussion About?

1. Identify current gaps and needs for services in counties and communities.
2. Prioritize those needs.
3. Strategize possible solutions.

2


DCBS Calls/Referrals

October 1, 2009 to September 30, 2010

	Bluegrass Fayette	Statewide
All Calls/Referrals to CPS	7,004	80,002
Reports that Met Acceptance Criteria	2,192	48,080
# Unique Families	1,827	39,856
# Unique Children	2,922	62,547
Finding of Substantiated Child Abuse/Neglect or Services Needed	472	11,365
# Unique Families	446	10,495
# Unique Children	825	18,199
% Neglect	77.6%	73.6%
% Physical Abuse	16.0%	15.8%
% Sexual Abuse	5.9%	7.2%
% Emotional Abuse	0.5%	0.4%

3


Ages of Children at Report Bluegrass Fayette



54% of families have 2 or more children statewide

4

All Reports: Family Challenges and Risks



5

Services that DCBS Recommends to Address Family Challenges and Risks

- Family Preservation
- Intensive In-Home Services
- CCC In-Home Based Services
- Supervised Visitation
- Childcare
- Parenting classes
- Substance Abuse Treatment
- Anger Management
- Counseling
- Support groups

6


Service Gaps

- Access to transportation
- Child care services for parents to attend meetings and service provider appointments
- Substance abuse treatment services
- Support groups and parenting classes for fathers
- Additional visitation with siblings for foster youth

7

Nurturing Child Welfare and Strong Families is Everyone's Work

**What does this mean for
our community?**



8


Children and Families Need Communities

- What needs does your community have?
- Brainstorm solutions.
- Who can help with these solutions?
- What can you do?
- Next steps (Action plan)

9



**Thank you for
digging deeper
for families and
children**



10

Child Abuse and Neglect: Partnering to Help Families Achieve Results

Understanding Local Needs and Envisioning Solutions

Green River Regional Network



1

What Is This Discussion About?

1. Identify current gaps and needs for services in counties and communities.
2. Prioritize those needs.
3. Strategize possible solutions.

2


DCBS Calls/Referrals

October 1, 2009 to September 30, 2010

	Green River	Statewide
All Calls/Referrals to CPS	3,159	80,002
Reports that Met Acceptance Criteria	2,800	48,080
# Unique Families	2,301	39,856
# Unique Children	3,579	62,547
Finding of Substantiated Child Abuse/Neglect or Services Needed	803	11,365
# Unique Families	721	10,495
# Unique Children	1,300	18,199
% Neglect	75.9%	73.6%
% Physical Abuse	18.0%	15.8%
% Sexual Abuse	5.0%	7.2%
% Emotional Abuse	1.1%	0.4%

3


Ages of Children at Report Green River



54% of families have 2 or more children statewide

4

All Reports: Family Challenges and Risks



5

Services that DCBS Recommends to Address Family Challenges and Risks

- Family Preservation
- Intensive In-Home Services
- CCC In-Home Based Services
- Supervised Visitation
- Childcare
- Parenting classes
- Substance Abuse Treatment
- Anger Management
- Counseling
- Support groups

6

Service Gaps

- Access to transportation
- Child care services for parents to attend meetings and service provider appointments
- Substance abuse treatment services
- Support groups and parenting classes for fathers
- Additional visitation with siblings for foster youth

7

Nurturing Child Welfare and Strong Families is Everyone's Work

**What does this mean for
our community?**



8


Children and Families Need Communities

- What needs does your community have?
- Brainstorm solutions.
- Who can help with these solutions?
- What can you do?
- Next steps (Action plan)

9



**Thank you for
digging deeper
for families and
children**



10

Child Abuse and Neglect: Partnering to Help Families Achieve Results

Understanding Local Needs and Envisioning Solutions

Northern Bluegrass Regional Network



1

What Is This Discussion About?

1. Identify current gaps and needs for services in counties and communities.
2. Prioritize those needs.
3. Strategize possible solutions.

2


DCBS Calls/Referrals

October 1, 2009 to September 30, 2010

	Northern Bluegrass	Statewide
All Calls/Referrals to CPS	8,077	80,002
Reports that Met Acceptance Criteria	5,542	48,080
# Unique Families	4,654	39,856
# Unique Children	7,684	62,547
Finding of Substantiated Child Abuse/Neglect or Services Needed	1,210	11,365
# Unique Families	1,141	10,495
# Unique Children	1,937	18,199
% Neglect	80.4%	73.6%
% Physical Abuse	14.1%	15.8%
% Sexual Abuse	5.4%	7.2%
% Emotional Abuse	0.1%	0.4%

3


Ages of Children at Report Northern Bluegrass



54% of families have 2 or more children statewide

4

All Reports: Family Challenges and Risks



5

Services that DCBS Recommends to Address Family Challenges and Risks

- Family Preservation
- Intensive In-Home Services
- CCC In-Home Based Services
- Supervised Visitation
- Childcare
- Parenting classes
- Substance Abuse Treatment
- Anger Management
- Counseling
- Support groups

6

Service Gaps

- Access to transportation
- Child care services for parents to attend meetings and service provider appointments
- Substance abuse treatment services
- Support groups and parenting classes for fathers
- Additional visitation with siblings for foster youth

7

Nurturing Child Welfare and Strong Families is Everyone's Work

**What does this mean for
our community?**



8


Children and Families Need Communities

- What needs does your community have?
- Brainstorm solutions.
- Who can help with these solutions?
- What can you do?
- Next steps (Action plan)

9



**Thank you for
digging deeper
for families and
children**



10

Child Abuse and Neglect: Partnering to Help Families Achieve Results

Understanding Local Needs and Envisioning Solutions

Pennyrile Regional Network



1

What Is This Discussion About?

1. Identify current gaps and needs for services in counties and communities.
2. Prioritize those needs.
3. Strategize possible solutions.

2


DCBS Calls/Referrals

October 1, 2009 to September 30, 2010

	Pennyrile	Statewide
All Calls/Referrals to CPS	4,539	80,002
Reports that Met Acceptance Criteria	2,282	48,080
# Unique Families	1,932	39,856
# Unique Children	2,992	62,547
Finding of Substantiated Child Abuse/Neglect or Services Needed	512	11,365
# Unique Families	466	10,495
# Unique Children	781	18,199
% Neglect	74.9%	73.6%
% Physical Abuse	19.8%	15.8%
% Sexual Abuse	4.8%	7.2%
% Emotional Abuse	0.5%	0.4%

3


Ages of Children at Report Pennyrile



54% of families have 2 or more children statewide

4

All Reports: Family Challenges and Risks



5

Services that DCBS Recommends to Address Family Challenges and Risks

- Family Preservation
- Intensive In-Home Services
- CCC In-Home Based Services
- Supervised Visitation
- Childcare
- Parenting classes
- Substance Abuse Treatment
- Anger Management
- Counseling
- Support groups

6

Service Gaps

- Access to transportation
- Child care services for parents to attend meetings and service provider appointments
- Substance abuse treatment services
- Support groups and parenting classes for fathers
- Additional visitation with siblings for foster youth

7

Nurturing Child Welfare and Strong Families is Everyone's Work

**What does this mean for
our community?**



8


Children and Families Need Communities

- What needs does your community have?
- Brainstorm solutions.
- Who can help with these solutions?
- What can you do?
- Next steps (Action plan)

9



**Thank you for
digging deeper
for families and
children**



10

Primary Prevention Meeting and Event Tracking (PP-MET) System

Report on Meeting held on 11/30/2010 in Warren County

Date of Event:
11/30/2010

Data Entry Contact:
awebb

County or place where event/meeting
took place:
Warren

Initiative:
Community Collaboration for Children

Source of DCBS Funding for the Event:
• CCC funds

Other funding, if appropriate:

Type of Meeting, Event, or Activity

Meeting (designed to build consensus, exchange information, guide decisions,
improve understanding)

Type of Meeting:

- CCC Regional Network Meeting
- Barren River Regional Network Meeting held at 921 Beauty Ave. Bowling Green, KY.

Speaker at this event:

- Speaker was a person from within the group.

Please provide the name/s of the speaker/s, the title/topic of the talk, and a brief
summary:

Joey Minor, Service Region Administrator, with DCBS presented the Barren River
Program Improvement Plan. He spoke about the current gaps and needs for services
in counties and communities. He addressed family challenges and risks and the ages
of children at risk and showed the percentages in each age group. He also presented
how to prioritize the needs and how to strategize possible solutions.

Attendance at the Meeting or Event

27 Attendees at the Meeting:

- 3 Mothers
- 3 Mental health providers
- 1 Educators
- 6 FRYSCs staff
- 1 HANDS staff
- 9 Other community members or service providers
- 1 DCBS service region administrators

Action Step 3A.1.2 and 1.3 part 9
KY 3rd QR report
December 31, 2010

- 1 DCBS staff from central office
- 2 DCBS staff

Event Duration: 2 hours.

Media: There was no Media coverage for this event.

Other Notes: none

Perceived Outcomes of the Meeting or Event

- Improved awareness of reporting child abuse or neglect
- Increased awareness of child abuse and neglect
- Increased knowledge of substance abuse
- Improved knowledge or understanding of a topic
- Improved understanding of resource availability
- Increased commitment to addressing a community need
- Enhanced the vision of community goals
- Built community leadership capacity
- Developed parenting skills
- Improved knowledge of child development or child needs
- Improved coordination or accessibility of community services

Primary Prevention Meeting and Event Tracking (PP-MET) System

Report on Meeting held on 11/18/2010 in Fayette County

Date of Event:
11/18/2010

Data Entry Contact:
khudson@foothillscap.org

County or place where event/meeting
took place:
Fayette

Initiative:
Community Collaboration for Children

Source of DCBS Funding for the Event:

Other funding, if appropriate:

- CCC funds

Type of Meeting, Event, or Activity

Meeting (designed to build consensus, exchange information, guide decisions,
improve understanding)
Type of Meeting:

- CCC Regional Network Meeting
- Fayette Co. "Friends of Children" Regional Network Meeting

Speaker at this event:

- Speaker was a person from within the group.

Please provide the name/s of the speaker/s, the title/topic of the talk, and a brief
summary:

DCBS presented the "PIP" Program Improvement Plan to the Network by developing a
power point presentation and handouts. Jim Thurman also presented to the group
what he as a pastor and educator within Fayette Co. roles are.

Attendance at the Meeting or Event

25 Attendees at the Meeting:

- 13 Mothers
- 1 Educators
- 4 Other community members or service providers
- 1 DCBS staff from central office
- 2 DCBS Supervisors
- 4 DCBS staff

Event Duration: 2 hours.

Media: There was no Media coverage for this event.

Other Notes: none

Perceived Outcomes of the Meeting or Event

- Improved awareness of reporting child abuse or neglect
- Increased awareness of child abuse and neglect
- Identified a community service need
- Increased commitment to addressing a community need
- Enhanced the vision of community goals

Primary Prevention Meeting and Event Tracking (PP-MET) System

Report on Meeting held on 11/17/2010 in Daviess County

Date of Event:
11/17/2010

Data Entry Contact:
kelliking@gradd.com

County or place where event/meeting
took place:
Daviess

Initiative:
Community Collaboration for Children

Source of DCBS Funding for the Event:

Other funding, if appropriate:

- CCC funds

Type of Meeting, Event, or Activity

Meeting (designed to build consensus, exchange information, guide decisions,
improve understanding)

Type of Meeting:

- CCC Regional Network Meeting
- The CCC Regional network meeting program provider, budget, and local need reports were given.

Speaker at this event:

- Speaker was a person from within the group.

Please provide the name/s of the speaker/s, the title/topic of the talk, and a brief
summary:

Annette Harrod and Joey Minor presented on the local needs of the region.

Attendance at the Meeting or Event

19 Attendees at the Meeting:

- 5 Mothers
- 2 Fathers
- 1 FRYSCs staff
- 3 Health Department
- 2 Other community members or service providers
- 2 DCBS service region administrators
- 1 DCBS service region assistant administrators
- 1 DCBS staff from central office

Action Step 3A.1.2 and 1.3 part 11
KY 3rd QR report
December 31, 2010

- 1 DCBS Supervisors
- 1 DCBS staff

Event Duration: 2 hours.

Media: There was no Media coverage for this event.

Other Notes: none

Perceived Outcomes of the Meeting or Event

- Increased awareness of child abuse and neglect
- Identified a community service need

Primary Prevention Meeting and Event Tracking (PP-MET) System

Report on Meeting held on 11/17/2010 in Grant County

Date of Event:
11/17/2010

Data Entry Contact:
scarney@nkcac.org

County or place where event/meeting
took place:
Grant

Initiative:
Community Collaboration for Children

Source of DCBS Funding for the Event:
• CCC funds

Other funding, if appropriate:
• In-kind funds/services

Type of Meeting, Event, or Activity

Meeting (designed to build consensus, exchange information, guide decisions,
improve understanding)

Type of Meeting:

- CCC Regional Network Meeting

Speaker at this event:

- Speaker was a person from within the group.

Please provide the name/s of the speaker/s, the title/topic of the talk, and a brief
summary:

Eric Dodson did a PIP presentation.

Attendance at the Meeting or Event

30 Attendees at the Meeting:

- 999 Mothers
- 1 Fathers
- 1 Grandparents
- 999 Mental health providers
- 999 FRYSCs staff
- 999 Health Department
- 1 Employment Providers
- 999 Other community members or service providers
- 1 DCBS service region assistant administrators

Event Duration: 90 minutes.

Action Step 3A.1.2 and 1.3 part 12
KY 3rd QR report
December 31, 2010

Media: There was no Media coverage for this event.

Other Notes: none

Perceived Outcomes of the Meeting or Event

- Improved knowledge or understanding of a topic
- Identified a community service need
- Enhanced the vision of community goals

Primary Prevention Meeting and Event Tracking (PP-MET) System

Report on Meeting held on 11/4/2010 in Caldwell County

Date of Event:
11/4/2010

Data Entry Contact:
sabrina.b@pacs-ky.org

County or place where event/meeting
took place:
Caldwell

Initiative:
Community Collaboration for Children

Source of DCBS Funding for the Event:
• CCC funds

Other funding, if appropriate:

Type of Meeting, Event, or Activity

Meeting (designed to build consensus, exchange information, guide decisions, improve understanding)

Type of Meeting:

- CCC Regional Network Meeting
- CCC Subcommittee Meeting
- Meeting to build fatherhood supports
- Meeting on child abuse prevention

- Janet Doyel spoke for PIP power point presentation-"Understanding Local Needs and Envisioning Regional Networks"

Speaker at this event:

- Speaker was a person from within the group.

Please provide the name/s of the speaker/s, the title/topic of the talk, and a brief summary:

DCBS Update via Janet Doyel PIP

Attendance at the Meeting or Event

19 Attendees at the Meeting:

- 999 Mothers
- 2 Fathers
- 1 Mental health providers
- 1 FRYSCs staff
- 1 HANDS staff

Action Step 3A.1.2 and 1.3 part 13
KY 3rd QR report
December 31, 2010

- 1 Health Department
- 2 Other community members or service providers
- 999 DCBS service region administrators
- 999 DCBS service region assistant administrators
- 1 DCBS staff from central office
- 999 DCBS Supervisors
- 999 DCBS staff

Event Duration: 2 hours.

Media: There was no Media coverage for this event.

Other Notes: none

Perceived Outcomes of the Meeting or Event

- Improved awareness of reporting child abuse or neglect
- Increased awareness of child abuse and neglect
- Improved understanding of resource availability
- Identified a community service need
- Increased commitment to addressing a community need